American Samoa Power Authority
P.O. Box PPB
Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799
Telephone: (684) 699-3057
Email: procurement@aspower.com
Website: www.aspower.com

ISSUANCE DATE: **March 25, 2025**

RFP NO.: **RFQ NO. ASPA25.034 – 2 x 1MGD Seawater (Desalination) RO System**

SUBJECT: **Addendum No. 2**

The American Samoa Power Authority hereby issues Addendum No. 2 to amend Request for Quotations (RFQ) requirements. This addendum is issued pursuant to the conditions of the RFQ documents and is hereby made part of the RFQ. The addendum serves to clarify, revise, and supersede information contained in the RFQ. The Offeror must acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the appropriate space provided in the Addendum Form. Failure to do so may subject the Offeror to disqualification.

1. **The closing date has been extended as follows. Submissions received prior to the closing date and time will not be opened until the closing date listed below:**

   Closing Date and Time: Thursday, April 24, 2025 at 2:00PM

2. **Responses to additional queries received for this tender are included beginning at page 2.**

Should you have any questions or need clarification, please call me at (684) 699-3057 or procurement@aspower.com.

Sincerely,

Renee Leotele Togafau
Procurement Manager

Please sign and date below to acknowledge receiving Addendum 2. You may return this document via email at procurement@aspower.com, or the ASPA Procurement Office.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIVING ADDENDUM 2

Received by ______________________________, this __________day of ________________ 2025.

Company ______________________________ Title ______________________________

Fax No. ____________________ Email Address ____________________

RFQ NO. ASPA25.034 - 2 x 1MGD DESALINATION SYSTEM		
No.	**Question**	**Answer:**
1	In order to calcualte operating cost, we would like to know what is the cost per kW in American Samoa ($US/kWH). Is the following value correct: 0.31802 $US/kWH?	0.36 $US/kWH
2	Based on the image of Onesosopo park, we understand that the seawater is drawn from the harbour via an open intake. Cna you confirm and additionally - is there any evidence that the Star Kist tuna processing facility (and potential effluent discharge) will impact the water chemistry (specifically nutrients and solids) that may need to be considered.	Sample water quality test analysis is attached herewith for your membrane and treatment prcoess design and selection. At the moment there is no significant effects from Starkist
3	Please proved any additional data/recent water quality analysis to establish a balanced design basis. Specifically - total suspended solids (TSS) if available.	Raw water quality analysis of the area is also with this RFQ on the website as a separate attachement
4	Clause 1 on page 13, Clause 4.13 on page 2 mention modular set up of 10-15% each of production volume is required to minimise production loss during servicing and maintenance. Can you please explain this furgher - or confirm that ASPA require 2 separate plants - that may or may not be co-located. each with a capacity of 1MGD - and within each plant 1 x 100% in not acceptable, and a multiple process train configuration is preferred (4 x 25% trains for example) to maximise capacity and availability?	4 x 25% capacity each per train should be fine
5	Is a low energy consumption design preferred and if so will tha be considered in the evaluation?	Low Energy consumption does not weigh heavy points such as the 12 months delivery and Pricing criterias. However it is part of the 10 points for Specifications & Requirements which includes submission of technical specification, permeate quality & quantity, power ratings, piping standards and power consumption.
6	In order to submit a detailed and comprehensive proposal - we would like to request an extension of time for submission to the 24th of April. Please advise if this can be accommodated.	Approved